

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,924		11/13/2003	Douwe Johannes Runia	TS 6413 USA.	9876	
23632	7590	03/10/2006		EXAMINER		
SHELL OI	L COMP.	ANY	STEPHENSON, DANIEL P			
P O BOX 24 HOUSTON		522463	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
110031011	, 17. 772	322703	3672			

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/712,9	24	RUNIA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	-			
		Daniel P.	Stephenson	3672				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TI CFR 1.136(a). In no ex tion. period will apply and w y statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this co IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is rallowance except	non-final. t for formal matters, p		e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)⊠ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application (s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application (s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application (s) 1-18 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11-15,17 and 18 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 4 and 10 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Extended the control of the drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2000 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the other oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to be obje	rejected.  and/or election in the saminer.  23 is/are: a) a state to the drawing(s) correction is required.	requirement.  accepted or b) object be held in abeyance. Solution of the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 Cf	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/03,4/26/04,7/26/04		4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	<b>)</b> -152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/712,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not in narrative format. It should be comprised of multiple sentences. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harrell et al. '023. Harrell et al. '023 (Figure 5) discloses a bottom hole assembly attachable to a tubular drill string. The bottom hole assembly has a drill bit (54), a drill steering system (60), and a surveying system (62). The bottom hole assembly is provided with a longitudinal internal passage for at least part of an auxiliary tool, such as the production tubing. The auxiliary tool has a diameter of at least 5 cm. The drill steering system is provided in the form of a mud motor comprising a tubular stator and a rotor arranged in the tubular stator. There is a bit shaft, which

Art Unit: 3672

is arranged to be driven by the rotor and suitable for transmittal of torque to a drill bit. The rotor is releasably connected to the bit shaft so that the rotor can be longitudinally removed from the stator after disconnection from the bit shaft. The interior of the stator forms part of the longitudinal internal passage after removal.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the WIPO document '488 to Runia (hereafter WIPO '488) in view of Schuh. WIPO '488 (Fig. 1-3, pages 4-11) discloses a tubular drill string, which includes at its lower end a bottom hole assembly with a drill bit. The drill string includes a passageway for an auxiliary tool (40) from a first position interior of the drill string above the bottom hole assembly to a second position wherein at least pad of the auxiliary tool is exterior of the drill string below the bottom hole assembly. The passageway can be selectively closed. It is used to drill so as to progress the drill string into the earth formation, until a tool operating condition is met. Then opening the passageway and passing the auxiliary tool from the first position through the passageway to the second position where it is operated. The passageway is then closed and drilling is continued. Closing the passageway entails retrieving the auxiliary tool fully into the drill string. The auxiliary tool can be a logging tool. Multiple auxiliary tools can be deployed through the drill string and operated external of the drill string. The auxiliary tool may be pumped down (page 11

Art Unit: 3672

lines 14-16) the drill string. The part of the auxiliary tool that is passed to the second position has a largest diameter of at least 5 cm. The bottom hole assembly comprises a removable closure element (18) adapted to selectively close the passageway. The closure element is broadly read as a surface retrievable pad, since it is retrievable at the surface after the drill is tripped up.

WIPO '488 does not disclose that there is a rotary drill steering system, and a surveying system located in the bottom hole assembly. Schuh (Fig. 1, 3, 6A-6D) discloses a steering system for use with a rotary drill string. It operates in conjunction with a survey system/MWD. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the steering/survey system of Schuh with the apparatus of WIPO '488. This would be done to allow for directional drilling with a high degree of control as taught by Schuh (col. 2 lines 27-41).

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WIPO '488 in view of Schuh as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Comeau et al. '063. WIPO '488 in view of Schuh shows all the limitations of the claimed invention, except it does not disclose that the survey system is a tubular sub that forms part of the passageway for the auxiliary tool. Comeau et al. '063 discloses to us of a tubular sub MWD system (378). This system has a longitudinal passage for the passage of various tools. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the MWD of Comeau et al. '063 with the apparatus of WIPO '488. This would be done because it is common knowledge within the wellbore art to have tubular MWD's for the allowance of fluid to pass by them.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,924 Page 5

Art Unit: 3672

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tiraspolsky et al., Bennet et al. '838 and Bennet et al. '442 all show similar elements to the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel P. Stephenson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7035. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,924 Page 6

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David/Bagnell

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3672

DPS W